United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/060,157	01/30/2002	Donald E. Richeson	MGP.P.US0084	7545
26360 75	590 02/25/2004	EXAMINER		
	ENNER, GREIVE, BO	KUHNS, ALLAN R		
FOURTH FLO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AKRON, OH	••		1732	<u>-</u>
•			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				·	_ A		
		Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/06	60,157	RICHESON			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit			
		I	Kuhns	1732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ess		
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI resions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this conperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In renumication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a low will by statute, cause the	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) Mile e application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commandate of this commandate of this commandate of the commandate of t	nunication.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/a	re withdrawn from o	consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 17-20 is/are rejected.						
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	n requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers			• •			
	The specification is objected to by						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/ar						
	Applicant may not request that any ob						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examine	r. Note the attacr	ied Office Action or form PTO	⊱15 ∠.		
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claimal. All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priori 2. Certified copies of the priori 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the International See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claimal since a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claimal certification of the foreign of the	ty documents have ty documents have to documents have as of the priority doctional Bureau (PCT tion for a list of the for domestic prioritied in the first sententianguage provisional for domestic priorities.	been received. been received in cuments have been Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies notity under 35 U.S. ence of the special application has ity under 35 U.S.	Application No en received in this National Solution of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional affication or in an Application Doluments been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a	application) ata Sheet. specific		
Attachmen			خان سمدما	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449			w Summary (P10-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1			

Application/Control Number: 10/060,157

Art Unit: 1732

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, drawn to a method of conditioning or thermoforming a cellular thermoplastic resin, classified in class 264, subclass 321.
- II. Claims 17-20, drawn to a cellular thermoplastic article, classified in class 428, subclass 36.5.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed, or as imputed from the steps practiced, can be made from another and materially different process such as on in which a cellular thermoplastic is formed directly by foaming or expansion in a mold rather than by conditioning followed by reshaping (thermoforming).

- 3.Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art requiring divergent fields of search for the respective inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4.During a telephone conversation with John Cunniff on February 12, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 17-20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-16 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,157

Art Unit: 1732

5.Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is indefinite due to the use of the phrase "total energy" since one of ordinary skill in the art would be unable to determine the metes and bounds of this claim from that phrase alone. The specification at pages 6-7 describes a total energy absorbed before failure based on the conduct of a specific impact test. Clarification is required.

6.The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnston et al. (5,641,549). Johnston et al. disclose the basic claimed cellular thermoplastic article. Johnston et al. disclose a container for food, as in claim 18, and a dual ovenable container, as in claim 19. It is submitted that the "total energy" of the article of Johnston et al. inherently falls within the range of claim 20 for a cellular thermoplastic article of substantially equivalent size and shape. Note the directives of MPEP 2113 with regard to the treatment of product-by-process claims.

7.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/060,157

Art Unit: 1732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

allon R. Kuhns

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

2-17-04